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Philos 1337  
Ethics in the Professions: Introduction to Computing Ethics  
Spring 2014

Meetings  
Mondays, Wednesday, and Fridays  
11:30 – 12:25  
McPherson Lab 2017

Instructor  
Owen King  
Ph.D. Candidate, Dept. of Philosophy  
king.1084@osu.edu

Office Hours  
Wednesdays, 1:00 – 3:00  
Philosophy grad student office  
214 University Hall

Course Description

This course is an introduction to ethical theory with a special focus on ethical issues that arise in the computing profession. A primary purpose of this course is to equip students with the skills necessary for resolving moral issues likely to arise in professional contexts. The first several weeks of the course will be devoted to an overview of major ethical theories in the Western philosophical tradition. We will go on to consider specific issues that arise in the context of contemporary computing. In particular, we will focus on these areas:

- intellectual property  
- personal and organizational privacy  
- professionalism  
- hacking and cyber crime  
- globalization and technology  
- unanticipated consequences of technology

GE Information

Philos 1337 satisfies the “Cultures and Ideas” subcategory of the College of Arts and Sciences’ General Education (GE) requirements.

Goals for GE Cultures and Ideas courses:

Students evaluate significant cultural phenomena and ideas in order to develop capacities for aesthetic and historical response and judgment; and interpretation and evaluation.

Expected Learning Outcomes of GE Cultures and Ideas courses:

1. Students analyze and interpret major forms of human thought, culture, and expression.
2. Students evaluate how ideas influence the character of human beliefs, the perception of reality, and the norms which guide human behavior.

Philos 1337 will achieve the first expected learning outcome by surveying major theories in the history of philosophical ethics. We will analyze and compare theories including utilitarianism, Kantianism, and cultural relativism. Students will learn important practical differences among the theories, and they will learn to apply them to specific ethical problems. Students will learn to interpret current articles and media in terms of relevant ethical considerations.

Philos 1337 will achieve the second expected learning outcome by examining real and fictional case studies. We will apply concepts from ethical theory to the actions of individuals and groups in the cases. Students will learn to identify and understand the ethical, social, and cross-cultural significance of such actions.

Additional Course Goals

This course is concerned with issues specific to computing and professionalism. Hence, the course has these additional goals for student learning. Students will

- Become adept and comfortable expressing and justifying moral judgments to their peers and colleagues.
- Learn to identify special ethical problems associated with specific technologies.
- Understand the complexities of international development, distribution, and adoption of new technologies.
Course Texts

Required textbook
This is available at the OSU Campus Book Store (Gateway Barnes and Noble) and other off-campus bookstores.

Additional course texts
We will have additional readings to supplement the main course textbook. These will be available through Carmen.

Course Activities and Assignments

Class sessions
Typical class sessions will include a lecture and integrated discussion on the topic for that day.

Reading
Students are expected to complete reading assignments on a topic before the class session covering that topic. Students can expect an average of 30 pages of assigned reading per week.

Discussion and participation
Because improving students’ abilities to express and justify ethical evaluations is a goal of this course, students are required to participate in class discussions. Students are encouraged to ask questions and make comments during lectures. In addition, the instructor will raise questions and invite the students to express their opinions. Students should aim to participate orally in most class sessions. Students with little in-class participation can improve their participation scores to a limited extent by making extra contributions to the course blog. However, substantial participation in the classroom is required in order to earn a high participation score.

Unannounced quizzes
To ensure that students are keeping up with reading assignments, there will be occasional unannounced quizzes at the beginning of class sessions. Quiz questions will be about assigned reading on current course topics. Quiz questions may also ask about recent topics on the course blog. There will be approximately eight unannounced quizzes during the semester.

Exams
Three exams (two midterm exams and a final exam) will test students’ comprehension of course material. Each exam will be comprehensive, i.e., covering all topics from previous exams as well as new material. Exam questions will be of several types, including true/false, short answer, and short essay. Students will have 55 minutes (the length of one class session) for each exam. (Study guides will be provided before each exam.)

Course blog
Students are responsible for keeping up with ethical issues raised by current developments in computing. Students will use the course blog to report to the rest of the class about these issues. Blog posts should be based on material found on the web, especially websites featuring news about computing and technology. Blog posts may also revisit topics from classroom discussions. Students are encouraged also to leave comments on blog posts by others. A good quality blog post or comment will be at least 200 words. To receive full credit for the blog, students should have a total of at least six good quality blog posts or comments during the semester. (Assignment details to be announced in Week 2.)

Short paper
Students will write a short paper, due at the end of the term. The point of the short paper is for students to reflect, in some depth, on an issue from the course. The topic of the paper should be some issue discussed in class or on the course blog. A good paper would describe some sort of technology and the ethical issues it raises, and then go on to provide and justify prescriptions for how to deal with these issues. The paper should be 1000-1200 words. (Assignment details to be announced in Week 11. Paper will be due on the day of the Final Exam.)
Assessment and Grading:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assessment</th>
<th>Points</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>933-1000</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>733-766</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Classroom participation</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>A-</td>
<td>900-932</td>
<td>C-</td>
<td>700-732</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quizzes</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>B+</td>
<td>867-899</td>
<td>D+</td>
<td>667-699</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blog</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>833-866</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>600-666</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short paper</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>B-</td>
<td>800-832</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Midterm exam 1</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>C+</td>
<td>767-799</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>0-599</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Midterm exam 2</td>
<td>150</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final exam</td>
<td>150</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Attendance policy

Attendance at all sessions is mandatory. Attendance is necessary for classroom participation and taking quizzes. Work missed due to absence cannot be made-up, except with documentation of special circumstances (discussed with the instructor in advance) or a documented emergency. Upon a second (and every subsequent) unexcused absence, a student will lose 5 points from her total classroom participation points.

Academic Misconduct Policy

Students are expected to be familiar with The Ohio State University Code of Student Conduct. The following policy will be in effect:

*It is the responsibility of the Committee on Academic Misconduct to investigate or establish procedures for the investigation of all reported cases of student academic misconduct. The term 'academic misconduct' includes all forms of student academic misconduct wherever committed; illustrated by, but not limited to, cases of plagiarism and dishonest practices in connection with examinations. Instructors shall report all instances of alleged academic misconduct to the committee (Faculty Rule 3335-5-487). For additional information, see the Code of Student Conduct [http://studentlife.osu.edu/csc/](http://studentlife.osu.edu/csc/).*

Additional information can be found here: [http://oaa.osu.edu/coam.html](http://oaa.osu.edu/coam.html).

Disability Services

Students with disabilities that have been certified by the Office for Disability Services (ODS) will be appropriately accommodated. Students requesting accommodation should inform the instructor as soon as possible of their needs. ODS is located in 150 Pomerene Hall, 1760 Neil Ave.

ODS e-mail: ods@studentlife.osu.edu
ODS phone: (614)292-3307
ODS TDD: (614)292-0901
ODS VRS: (614)429-1334
ODS URL: [http://www.ods.ohio-state.edu/](http://www.ods.ohio-state.edu/)
# Course Schedule

The readings from the Quinn textbook are listed below. More specific details and additional reading assignments will be announced in class and on Carmen. *(This schedule is subject to any changes announced in class and/or on Carmen.)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WEEK</th>
<th>Dates</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Reading</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1:</td>
<td>January 6, 8, 10</td>
<td>Course intro and historical context</td>
<td>Primary reading: Quinn, ch. 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:</td>
<td>January 13, 15, 17</td>
<td>Ethical theory: Utilitarianism</td>
<td>Primary reading: Quinn, §§2.7-2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3:</td>
<td>January 22, 24</td>
<td>Ethical theory: Kantianism</td>
<td>Primary reading: Quinn, §2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Secondary reading: TBA/Carmen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4:</td>
<td>January 27, 29, 31</td>
<td>Ethical theory: Cultural relativism</td>
<td>Primary reading: Quinn, §§2.2-2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Secondary reading: TBA/Carmen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5:</td>
<td>February 3, 5, 7</td>
<td>Ethical theory: Kantianism</td>
<td>Primary reading: TBA/Carmen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>*** Exam 1: Friday, February 7 ***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6:</td>
<td>February 10, 12, 14</td>
<td>Intellectual property</td>
<td>Primary reading: Quinn, ch. 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7:</td>
<td>February 17, 19, 21</td>
<td>Software licensing and artificial scarcity</td>
<td>Primary reading: TBA/Carmen [GNU Project]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8:</td>
<td>February 24, 26, 28</td>
<td>Privacy and the public</td>
<td>Primary reading: Quinn, ch. 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9:</td>
<td>March 3, 5, 7</td>
<td>Privacy and the government</td>
<td>Primary reading: Quinn, ch. 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>*** Exam 2: Friday, March 21 ***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:</td>
<td>March 17, 19, 21</td>
<td>Hacking and cyber crime</td>
<td>Primary reading: Quinn, §§7.1-7.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>*** Exam 2: Friday, March 21 ***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:</td>
<td>March 24, 26, 28</td>
<td>Professional values and codes of ethics</td>
<td>Primary reading: Quinn, §§9.1-9.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:</td>
<td>March 31, April 2, 4</td>
<td>Intellectual property</td>
<td>Primary reading: Quinn, §§9.6, 8.7, 8.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Secondary reading: TBA/Carmen [BART case]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13:</td>
<td>April 7, 9, 11</td>
<td>Technology and globalization</td>
<td>Primary reading: Quinn, §§10.4-10.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>In-class video: documentary on e-waste</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14:</td>
<td>April 14, 16, 18</td>
<td>Unanticipated consequences of technology</td>
<td>Primary reading: TBA/Carmen [the Singularity]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>In-class video: documentary on AI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15:</td>
<td>April 21</td>
<td>Course conclusion</td>
<td>(no new reading)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>*** Final Exam: Friday, April 25 ***</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Course blog instructions
Philos 1337, Spring 2014, King

The purpose of the course blog is to accustom students to reading technology news and interpreting it from an ethical perspective.

Posting requirements

You may post either new blog entries or comments on someone else’s posts. In total, you should have at least 6 posts and/or comments on the blog before the final exam. A good quality post or comment has the following characteristics:

• It refers (via hyperlink) to writing or media on the web.
• It addresses some current issue in computing or technology.
• It raises an ethical question or expresses an ethical evaluation about some aspect of the issue.
• It is at least 200 words.
• It exhibits proper grammar, spelling, and punctuation.
• All hyperlinks in it are properly coded and functional.

If you have 6 good quality posts and/or comments, you will receive full credit for the blog part of your course grade.

Two-per-week credit limit

To make sure people do not wait until the end of the semester to do all their posts, here is a special rule: Only two posts or comments per week count toward your grade. That applies whether it is two posts, one post and one comment, or two comments. So, for example, if you wait until the last week of the course to do your blog posts, you could have a maximum of 2 out of the 6 required posts.

You are not limited to two posts per week. Please post as much as you want. It is just the credit per week that is limited. Plus, if you post more frequently, this may positively impact your course participation score.

Good style and proof-reading

You should write your posts and comments as though you were providing information for a general audience. That means you should write in clear, complete sentences, with proper punctuation. You should also make sure you introduce your topic thoughtfully. Any technical issues should be explained. Your explanation should be such that a typical adult (without a technical background) could have a basic understanding of the issues, without having to view the linked material. And, from reading your post, it should be clear what is news and what is your commentary.

Keeping up with the blog

You are required to keep up with other students’ blog posts. You should check for and read recent blog posts several times per week. You are encouraged, though not required, to read the comment thread following each post.

Some questions on in-class pop quizzes may require a basic acquaintance with issues raised in recent blog entries. Quiz questions may cover any recent blog post that is at least one day old.
The purpose of the short paper is to have students reflect, in some depth, on an issue from the course. Students should use this as an opportunity to collect and develop their thoughts on issues they care about.

Attributes of an acceptable paper:

The paper should be 1000-1200 words (not including title, references, etc.).

The topic of the paper should be some issue discussed in class or on the course blog. (The paper may be general or specific in scope. For example, you could focus on an issue as broad as intellectual property or as narrow as the Copyright Alert System.)

The paper should give a brief introduction and explanation of any technology involved.

The paper should discuss and/or apply at least one of these ethical theories: act utilitarianism, rule utilitarianism, moral rights theory, Kantianism, or cultural relativism. (This can be just a small part of the paper.)

The paper should use proper grammar, spelling, and punctuation.

Any sources should be cited. (You can cite things however you want. Footnotes or endnotes are fine. So are parenthetical citations. You do not need to adhere to one of the standard styles. Just get the title, author, publisher, URL, etc. in there.)

Your paper should be double-spaced and have reasonable margins.

The paper should be printed out, stapled, and handed in at the final exam.

Grading

A paper that has all of the attributes above will earn at least a B- (120 out of 150 possible points).

To earn an A (≥140 out of 150), a paper should also

• exhibit a firm grasp on the technical and ethical concepts involved.
• provide a nuanced and thorough articulation of the central ethical concerns.
• provide thoughtful suggestions about how the parties involved might cope with or resolve the ethical issues.

Sample paper topic questions

(These are just a few ideas. You may choose a completely different topic.)

– Should governments be required to use free software and open file formats?
– What (if anything) would be an appropriate punishment for the creators of the Pirate Bay?
– Should the bounds of fair use be expanded?
– Should access to 3-d printers and 3-d designs be legally restricted?
– For an online retailer, what (if any) limits should there be on the use of data about customers?
– Under what conditions should the government be allowed to collect personal information on its citizens?
– Should there be any restrictions on the possible uses of products such as Google Glass?
– Do countries that are more technologically advanced have a responsibility to help less advanced countries?
– Is a DoS attack an acceptable form of protest of against a corrupt organization or an unjust government?
GE Rationale and Assessment Plan for Philosophy 1337

Introduction

Philosophy 1337 is “Ethics in the Professions: Introduction to Computing Ethics”. It is modeled after Philosophy 1332, which is “Ethics in the Professions: Introduction to Engineering Ethics”. Like Philosophy 1332, Philosophy 1337 will provide an introduction to ethics similar to that provided by Philosophy 1300 (the Philosophy Department’s basic introductory ethics course). Philosophy 1337 will be distinctive in its focus on ethical issues in computing.

Philosophy 1337 is a service course to assist the College of Engineering with its goal of having a significant ethical component in its undergraduate curriculum. The aim of this course is to provide this ethical component in a manner tailored to the specific concerns of students in the College of Engineering studying computing (majoring in Computer Science and Engineering or Electrical and Computer Engineering).

In addition, Philosophy 1337 should be special interest to students in the College of Arts and Sciences majoring in Computer and Information Science. It should also appeal to students in the Fisher College of Business with an Information Systems specialization. The course, though, is open to all students, regardless of their major, and it is intended to appeal to any student interested in contemporary moral and social issues.

GE Rationale

Philosophy 1337 is intended to satisfy the Cultures and Ideas area of the College of Arts and Sciences Program of General Education. Courses in the Cultures and Ideas category have the following goals and expected learning outcomes.

Goals:
Students evaluate significant cultural phenomena and ideas in order to develop capacities for aesthetic and historical response and judgment; and interpretation and evaluation.

Expected Learning Outcomes:
1. Students analyze and interpret major forms of human thought, culture, and expression.
2. Students evaluate how ideas influence the character of human beliefs, the perception of reality, and the norms which guide human behavior.

As a philosophical introduction to ethics focusing on emerging technology, Philosophy 1337 is designed to teach and encourage students to interpret and evaluate individual actions, current events, and cultural trends from an ethical perspective. Hence, the design goals of the course are closely aligned with the primary goals of GE Cultures and Ideas courses.

Philosophy 1337 will achieve the first expected learning outcome, in part, by surveying major theories from the history of philosophical ethics. These theories—including utilitarianism, Kantianism, and cultural relativism—are common touchstones for ethical discussions in the media, law, and public policy. In the course textbook and in classroom lectures, these theories will be presented in a form facilitating comparison among the theories and application of the theories to specific ethical problems. Students will learn important philosophical and practical differences among the theories, and they will learn to apply them rigorously to particular cases. In addition, students will learn to interpret current articles and other sorts of media in terms of relevant ethical concepts.

Philosophy 1337 will achieve the second expected learning outcome by examining real and fictional case studies. The case studies will deal with topics such as intellectual property, personal privacy, professionalism, cyber crime, globalization, and unanticipated consequences of new technology. Students will apply concepts from ethical theory to the actions of individuals and groups featured in the cases. Students will gain a richer understanding of these cases by interpreting them both from the standpoint of abstract theory and in terms of the actors’ own self-conceptions.
Readings come from the course textbook and from other sources. The textbook provides relevant historical background, conceptual frameworks, and basic applications of core course ideas. Secondary readings invite students to examine these ideas in the context of complicated real-world issues. Many secondary readings will be case studies selected specifically to illustrate and/or problematize the course’s main topics. Additional secondary readings include scholarly work in applied ethics, current technology news articles, and other sorts of documents—such as the privacy policies of Google and Facebook. Many of the secondary readings will change from term-to-term. That is because of the rapid rate of change in computing technology.

Course assignments and exams are designed to aid students in reaching the expected learning outcomes. The exams test for mastery of the concepts and distinctions required for careful, precise ethical evaluation. On the course blog, students interpret and evaluate current events and technological developments. Unlike the standard case studies, which are chosen because they exemplify particular issues and ideas, current media appearing on the web does not always suggest, or even admit of, straightforward ethical analysis. When students find material to discuss on the blog, they take issues without an explicitly articulated ethical dimension and interpret them from an ethical perspective. The short paper assignment gives the students a chance to think through an issue of particular interest in more depth than is possible in classroom discussions and short blog posts.

**GE Assessment Plan**

The success of Philosophy 1337 in achieving the goals and objectives of Cultures and Ideas area of the GE requirements will be assessed in three interrelated ways: indirect assessment through student questionnaires, direct assessment through qualitative evaluation of student writing, and comparative assessment of student achievement across different years.

**Indirect assessment**

Instructors will ask students to fill out questionnaires (on paper or on Carmen) that ask about the students’ perceptions of their learning in the course. Among other things, students will be asked whether the ideas from the course have affected how they understand the roles of technology in society and in their daily lives. Students will also be asked whether they have become more sensitive to the ethical dimensions of situations they experience, witness, or read about.

**Direct assessment**

Instructors will examine entries on the course blog, specifically comparing entries posted early in the semester to entries posted late in the semester. Instructors will look for increased competence in (1) recognizing ethical dimensions of issues pertaining to technology and society, (2) identifying competing considerations bearing on the ethical evaluation of the issues, (3) using concepts from ethical theory to express clear evaluations, and (4) responding in relevant and constructive ways to posts by others. Student improvement in these areas will indicate a high degree of success in achieving the course’s expected learning outcomes.

A student reaching the expected level of achievement in the course should have written blog posts exhibiting the four competencies just described. If a blog post could be used to brief an technologically unsophisticated person about the ethical issues at stake in the development or use of some new computing technology, then the author of that post has exhibited an especially high level of achievement. It is expected that several students in each section of the course will reach this high level by the end of the semester.

**Comparative assessment**

Instructors will write and submit to the Department’s Teaching Evaluation and Assessment Committee a short narrative report detailing overall student performance on exams and writing. The report will also integrate student feedback from the questionnaires. The reports will be grouped by year and saved, so that teaching effectiveness in a particular term can be evaluated by comparison to previous years. On the basis of these comparisons, the relative emphases of different elements of the course can be adjusted in order to facilitate desirable levels of student achievement.
Hi Ed,

Attached please find a sample syllabus and GE Rationale and Assessment Plan for the proposed Computing Ethics course that we hope to have “on the books” for SP14. Could you please forward the documents on to the appropriate people in your college and ask that they get back to us as soon as possible with their comments and/or any suggested revisions? We need to submit the course proposal within the couple of weeks if we are to have it approved in time for next spring.

Thank you in advance for your assistance.

Regards,

Sue O’Keeffe

Fiscal/Human Resources Officer
Department of Philosophy
Ohio State University
350 University Hall
230 North Oval Mall
Columbus, OH 43210
Phone: 614/292-1701
Fax: 614/292-7592
Sue, We do support the course and will add it to our list of approved ethics courses. Please let me know when it has been fully approved.

Also, we could like for someone from Philosophy to give a report to the Core Committee something spring 2014 on the courses you teach for us. Is that possible?

Ed

-----Original Message-----
From: Neelam Soundarajan [mailto:neelam@cse.ohio-state.edu]
Sent: Friday, May 03, 2013 12:40 PM
To: bibyk@ece.osu.edu
Cc: Shivpuri, Rajiv; Palmer, Andre; Mendelsohn, Daniel; Rita.Alevriadou@osumc.edu; McCaul, Edward; Alevriadou, Barbara; Crall, Amanda; Tolchin, Barry S.; Bons, Jeffrey; hans@stat.osu.edu; Tomasko, David; Stetson, David; Sugarbaker, Evan; Farson, Dave; Croft, Frank; Gustafson, Robert; Hughes, Richard; Kaletunc, Gonul; perrylin@mps.ohio-state.edu; Ivoska, Matthew; Mills, Michael; Scacchetti, Olivia; woodward@chemistry.ohio-state.edu; Gupta, Prabhat; Sioshansi, Ramteen; Freuler, Richard; Shearer, Scott A.; Young, Seth; Akbar, Sheikh; Bibyk, Steven; Dantuono, Suzanne; Gerlach, Ulrich; Stilp, Zachary; neelam@cse.ohio-state.edu
Subject: RE: Proposal for ethics course

Colleagues,

Thanks to everyone for responding to my message about the possible addition of the new course proposed by the Philosophy Dept. to the list of courses that engineering students can choose from to meet their ethics course requirement. It seems we have consensus that this would be a reasonable thing to do (although there was also some feeling that it may not be the ideal choice for some engineering majors). So we will add the course to the list of approved ethics courses for engineering majors.

Ed, can you please let the Philosophy Dept. know? It may also be a good idea to request Philosophy to give us a report on the course late next Spring (the course will be offered for the first time in Sp '14) so we can suggest changes/improvements that may be appropriate.

Thanks again,

--Neelam